

Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 2841

Application No. 10/581,880

Paper Dated: October 6, 2008

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of July 21, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 1217-052989

REMARKS

This Amendment is responsive to the July 21, 2008 Office Action. In the Office Action, claims 1, 4, 7 and 8 stand rejected, claims 9-14 are withdrawn, and claim 15 is indicated as being allowable. In response, claim 15 has been amended by incorporating the subject matter of base claim 1 therein and placed in independent form. Claims 1, 4, and 7-14 have been cancelled. Amended claim 15 is now pending.


Claim 1 has been objected to for including the term "insulating film" instead of "polyimide film". Claims 1, 4, 7 and 8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over United States Patent No. 6,071,597 to Yang et al. in view of United States Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0003673 to Mahdavi and United States Patent No. 5,044,073 to Ogasawara et al.

The Office Action at page 7 states that claim 15 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As set forth above, Applicants have cancelled claims 1, 4, and 7-14 and claim 15 has been amended to be placed into independent form. Further, the term "insulating film" in claim 1 has been incorporated as "polyimide film" in claim 15.

Therefore, independent claim 15, as amended, is now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection and rejection and allowance of pending claim 15 are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

By 

Kent E. Baldauf

Registration No. 25826

Attorney for Applicants

436 Seventh Avenue

700 Koppers Building

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 471-8815

Facsimile: (412) 471-4094

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com